



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,754		08/24/2001		Samuel J. Danishefsky	2003080-0083 (SK-943-US)	4106
	24280	7590	04/18/2003			
Choate, Hall & Stewart Exchange Place 53 State Street			t		EXAMINER .	
					COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
	Boston, MA 02109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1624	ill
					DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	•	09/938,754	DANISHEFSKY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Brenda L. Coleman	1624					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she to with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 February 2003 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.						
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,23 and 29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	<u> </u>							
7)	<u> </u>							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-22,24-28 and 30-56</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	Application Papers							
9) 🗌 -	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) 🔲 🗆	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[] 7	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	reply to this Office action.						
12) 🔲 🗆	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
_	15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 14					

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The applicants' election of Group I is acknowledged. However, upon further review of the record the following additional requirement for restriction is deemed necessary.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 7-22, 24-28, 30, 33-35 and 38, drawn to the compounds, compositions and method of use of formula I where X is O, classified in class 549, subclasses 268, 269 and 270.
- II. Claims 31, 32, 36 and 37, drawn to complex compositions of formula I where X is O and their method of use, class 514, various subclasses within dependent on the additional therapeutic agents.
- III. Claims 39-46, drawn to the method of use of the compounds of formula I where X is O, classified in class 514, subclasses 434, 436 and 450.
- IV. Claims 47-56, drawn to the process of preparing the compounds of formula I where X is O, classified in class 549, subclasses 268, 269 and 270.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 1624

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compound known as radicicol is a compound of formula I which is a known to have antitumor effects as shown by Roe et al., Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 1999, Vol. 42, pages 260-266.

Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the compound known as radicicol is a compound of formula I which is prepared using Scheme I of Lampilas et al., Tetrahedron Letters, 1992, Vol. 33, No. 6, pages 777-780.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: include the species of claims 22 and 24-28.

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the applicants' elected the species of claim 27.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 703-

305-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556

for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

April 17, 2003